

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Approximation of quantum graph vertex couplings by scaled Schrödinger operators on thin branched manifolds

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 415305 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/42/41/415305) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.155 The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 08:13

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 415305 (22pp)

doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/41/415305

# Approximation of quantum graph vertex couplings by scaled Schrödinger operators on thin branched manifolds

# Pavel Exner<sup>1,2</sup> and Olaf Post<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Theoretical Physics, NPI, Academy of Sciences, 25068 Řež near Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup> Doppler Institute, Czech Technical University, Břehová 7, 11519 Prague, Czech Republic
 <sup>3</sup> Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Rudower Chaussee 25, 12489 Berlin, Germany

E-mail: exner@ujf.cas.cz and post@math.hu-berlin.de

Received 3 July 2009, in final form 27 August 2009 Published 29 September 2009 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/415305

# Abstract

We discuss approximations of vertex couplings of quantum graphs using families of thin branched manifolds. We show that if a Neumann-type Laplacian on such manifolds is amended by suitable potentials, the resulting Schrödinger operators can approximate non-trivial vertex couplings. The latter include not only the  $\delta$ -couplings but also those with wavefunctions discontinuous at the vertex. We work out the example of the symmetric  $\delta'$ -couplings and make a conjecture that the same method can be applied to all couplings invariant with respect to the time reversal. We conclude with a result that certain vertex couplings cannot be approximated by a pure Laplacian.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Db, 02.30.Jr, 02.30.Tb

#### 1. Introduction

The quantum graph models represent a simple and versatile tool to study numerous physical phenomena. The current state of the art in this field is described in the recent proceedings volume [EKK+08] to which we refer for an extensive bibliography.

One of the big questions in this area is the physical meaning of quantum graph vertex coupling. The general requirement of self-adjointness admits boundary conditions containing a number of parameters, and one would like to understand how to choose these when a quantum graph model is applied to a specific physical situation. One natural idea is to approximate the graph in question by a family of 'fat graphs', i.e. tube-like manifolds built around the graph 'skeleton', equipped with a suitable second-order differential operator. Such systems have no *ad hoc* parameters and one can try to find what vertex couplings arise when the manifold is squeezed to the graph.

1751-8113/09/415305+22\$30.00 © 2009 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

The question is by no means easy and the answer depends on the type of the operator chosen. If it is the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions one has to employ an energy renormalization because the spectral threshold given by the lowest transverse eigenvalue blows up to infinity as the tube diameter tends to zero. If one chooses the reference point between the thresholds, the limiting boundary conditions are determined by the scattering on the respective 'fat star' manifold [MV07]. If, on the other hand, the threshold energy is subtracted, the limit gives generically a decoupled graph, i.e. the family of edges with Dirichlet conditions at their endpoints [P05, MV07, DT06]. One can nevertheless get a non-trivial coupling in the limit if the tube network exhibits a threshold resonance [G08, ACF07], and moreover, using a more involved limiting process one can get also boundary conditions with richer spectral properties [CE07].

The case when the fat graph supports a Laplacian of Neumann type is better understood and the limit of all types of spectra as well as of resonances has been worked out [FW93, RS01, KuZ01, EP05, EP07, G08, EP08]. Moreover, convergence of resolvents, etc has been shown in [Sai00, P06, EP07]. Of course, no energy renormalization is needed in this case. On the other hand, the limit yields only the simplest boundary conditions called free or Kirchhoff.

The aim of this paper is to show that one can do better in the Neumann case if the Laplacian is replaced by suitable families of Schrödinger operators with properly scaled potentials. Such approximations have been shown to work on graphs themselves [E96, ENZ01]; the main idea here is to 'lift' them to the tube-like manifolds<sup>3</sup>. First we will show that using potentials supported by the vertex regions of the manifold with the 'natural' scaling, as  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  where  $\varepsilon$  is the tube radius parameter, we can get the so-called  $\delta$ -coupling, the one-parameter family with the wavefunctions continuous everywhere, including at the vertex. Note that this suggests, in particular, that one cannot achieve such an approximation in a purely geometric way, with a curvature-induced potential of the type [DEK01], because the latter scales typically as  $\varepsilon^{-2}$ ; we will say more on that in the concluding remarks. As main result in this case, we show the convergence of the spectra and the resolvents as the network branch widths shrink to zero. (cf theorems 3.3–3.7).

On the other hand, the  $\delta$ -coupling is only a small part in the set of all admissible couplings; in a vertex joining *n* edges the boundary conditions contain  $n^2$  parameters. Here we use the seminal idea of Cheon and Shigehara [CS98] applied to the graph case in [CE04] and generalized in [ET06, ET07]. For the sake of simplicity we are going to work out in this paper only the example of the so-called symmetric  $\delta'$ -coupling, in short  $\delta'_s$ , a one-parameter family which is a counterpart of  $\delta$ , by using the result of [CE04] and 'lifting' it to the manifold. We show that such a coupling is approximated with a potential in the vertex region together with potentials at the edges with compact supports approaching the vertex, all properly scaled, cf theorem 4.7. The speed with which the potentials are 'coming together' must be slower than that of the squeezing. In particular, the approximating potentials have distances of order  $\varepsilon^{\alpha}$ with  $0 < \alpha < 1/13$ , whereas the tube radius parameter is of order  $\varepsilon$ . The rate between the two we obtain is surely not optimal.

We are convinced that in the same way one can lift to the manifolds the more general limiting procedures devised in [ET07] which gives rise to a  $\binom{n+1}{2}$ -parameter family of boundary conditions, namely those which are invariant with respect to the time reversal. We refrain from working such a more general result, however, because such an extension would require a voluminous work of algebraic nature. In order not to burden this paper with a complicated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is not the only possibility; another approach to approximation of non-trivial vertex conditions was proposed recently in [Pa07b, Pa07a].

notation and bulky calculations, we state the claim as a conjecture here with the intention to present the appropriate proofs in a later work.

Let us survey the contents of the paper. In the next section we define the graph and manifold models and provide necessary estimates. In section 3 we prove the convergence in the  $\delta$ -coupling case. For the sake of clarity we analyse first in detail the star-shaped graphs with a single vertex. The main result here is theorem 3.4 which states the rate of resolvent convergence with an appropriate identification operator. As its corollaries we get in theorems 3.5 and 3.6 convergence of different spectral components. Furthermore, the approximation bears a local character which allows us to extend the result to more complex graphs; the corresponding general result about graphs with  $\delta$ -couplings is stated in theorem 3.7. In section 4 we turn to the  $\delta'_s$ -coupling case; for simplicity we restrict ourselves to star graphs with a single vertex. The main result is again the resolvent convergence which is stated in theorem 4.7. We conclude the paper with a short section in which we formulate the conjecture about the general case and discuss the approximations from both the mathematical and physical points of view.

# 2. The graph and manifold models

#### 2.1. The graph model

Let us start with a star-shaped metric graph *G* having only one vertex *v* and deg *v* adjacent edges  $e \in E$  of lengths  $\ell_e \in (0, \infty]$ , so we can think of  $E = \{1, \ldots, \deg v\}$ . We identify the (metric) edge *e* with the interval  $I_e := (0, \ell_e)$  oriented in such a way that 0 corresponds to the vertex *v*. Moreover, the metric graph *G* is given by the abstract space  $G := \bigcup_e \overline{I}_e / \sim$  where  $\bigcup$  denotes the disjoint union, and where the equivalence relation  $\sim$  identifies the points  $0 \in \overline{I}_e$  with the vertex *v*. The basic Hilbert space is  $L_2(G) := \bigoplus_{e \in E} L_2(I_e)$  with norm given by

$$||f||^2 = ||f||_G^2 = \sum_{e \in E} \int_0^{\ell_e} |f(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

The decoupled Sobolev space of order k is defined as

$$\mathsf{H}^k_{\max}(G) := \bigoplus_{e \in E} \mathsf{H}^k(I_e)$$

together with its natural norm. Let  $\underline{p} = \{p_e\}_e$  be a vector consisting of the weights  $p_e > 0$  for  $e \in E$ . The Sobolev space associated with the weight p is given by

$$\mathsf{H}^{1}_{\underline{p}}(G) := \left\{ f \in \mathsf{H}^{1}_{\max}(G) \, \big| \, \underline{f}(v) \in \mathbb{C}\underline{p} \right\},\tag{2.1}$$

where  $\underline{f}(v) := \{f_e(0)\}_e \in \mathbb{C}^{\deg v}$  is the evaluation vector of f at the vertex v and  $\mathbb{C}\underline{p}$  is the complex span of p. We use the notation

$$\underline{f}(v) = f(v)\underline{p}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad f_e(0) = f(v)p_e$$

$$(2.2)$$

for all  $e \in E$ . In particular, if  $\underline{p} = (1, ..., 1)$ , we arrive at the *continuous* Sobolev space  $H^1(G) := H^1_p(G)$ . The standard Sobolev trace estimate

$$|g(0)|^{2} \leq a \|g'\|_{(0,\ell)}^{2} + \frac{2}{a} \|g\|_{(0,\ell)}^{2}$$
(2.3)

for  $g \in H^1(0, \ell)$  and  $0 < a \leq \ell$  ensures that  $H^1_{\underline{p}}(G)$  is a closed subspace of  $H^1_{\max}(G)$ , and therefore itself a Hilbert space. A simple consequence is the following claim.

Lemma 2.1. We have

$$|f(v)|^2 \leq |\underline{p}|^{-2} \left( a ||f'||_G^2 + \frac{2}{a} ||f||_G^2 \right)$$

for  $f \in \mathsf{H}^1_p(G)$  and  $0 < a \leq \ell_0 := \min_{e \in E} \{\ell_e, 1\}.$ 

We define various Laplacians on the metric graph via their quadratic forms. Let us start with the (weighted) *free* Laplacian  $\Delta_G$  defined via the quadratic form  $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_G$  given by

$$\mathfrak{d}(f) := \|f'\|_G^2 = \sum_e \|f'_e\|_{I_e}^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{dom}\,\mathfrak{d} := \mathsf{H}^1_{\underline{p}}(G)$$

for a fixed <u>p</u> (the forms and the corresponding operators should be labelled by the weight <u>p</u>, of course, but we drop the index, in particular, because we are most interested in the case  $\underline{p} = (1, ..., 1)$ ). Note that  $\vartheta$  is a closed form since the norm associated with the quadratic form  $\vartheta$  is precisely the Sobolev norm given by  $||f||_{L^{1}(G)}^{2} = ||f'||_{G}^{2} + ||f||_{G}^{2}$ .

form  $\mathfrak{d}$  is precisely the Sobolev norm given by  $||f||^2_{\mathsf{H}^1(G)} = ||f'||^2_G + ||f||^2_G$ . The Laplacian with  $\delta$ -coupling of strength q is defined via the quadratic form  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{(G,q)}$  given by

$$\mathfrak{h}(f) := \|f'\|_G^2 + q(v)|f(v)|^2$$
 and  $\operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{h} := \operatorname{H}^1_{\underline{p}}(G).$  (2.4)

The  $\delta$ -coupling is a 'small' perturbation of the free Laplacian, namely we have

**Lemma 2.2.** The form  $\mathfrak{h}_{(G,q)}$  is relatively form-bounded with respect to the free form  $\mathfrak{d}_G$  with relative bound zero, i.e. for any  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $C_{\eta} > 0$  such that

$$|\mathfrak{h}(f) - \mathfrak{d}(f)| = |q(v)| |f(v)|^2 \leq \eta \mathfrak{d}(f) + C_\eta ||f||_G^2.$$

**Proof.** It is again a simple consequence of lemma 2.1. Since we need the precise behaviour of the constant  $C_{\eta}$ , we give a short proof here. From lemma 2.1 we conclude that

$$|\mathfrak{h}(f) - \mathfrak{d}(f)| \leq |q(v)||\underline{p}|^{-2} \left( a\mathfrak{d}(f) + \frac{2}{a} \|f\|_{G}^{2} \right).$$

for any  $0 < a \le \ell_0$ . Set  $a := \min\{\eta | p |^2 / |q(v)|, \ell_0\}$  and

$$C_{\eta} := 2 \max\left\{\frac{|q(\upsilon)|^2}{\eta|\underline{p}|^4}, \frac{|q(\upsilon)|}{\ell_0|\underline{p}|^2}\right\};$$

then the desired estimate follows.

One can see that the norms associated with  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{d}$  are equivalent and, in particular, setting  $\eta = 1/2$  in the above estimate yields

Corollary 2.3. The quadratic form  $\mathfrak{h}$  is closed and obeys the estimate

$$\mathfrak{d}(f) \leq 2\big(\mathfrak{h}(f) + C_{1/2} \|f\|_G^2\big).$$

The operator  $H = H_{(G,q)}$  associated with  $\mathfrak{h}$  acts as  $(Hf)_e = -f''_e$  on each edge and a function f in its domain satisfies the conditions

$$\frac{f_{e_1}(0)}{p_{e_1}} = \frac{f_{e_2}(0)}{p_{e_2}} =: f(v) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_e p_e f'_e(0) = q(v) f(v) \tag{2.5}$$

for any pair  $(e_1, e_2)$  of edges meeting at the vertex v. We use the formal notation

$$H = H_{(G,q)} = \Delta_G + q(v)\delta_v. \tag{2.6}$$

4

Note that the free operator  $\Delta_G$ , i.e. the operator with vanishing  $\delta$ -coupling q = 0, is non-negative by definition and satisfies the so-called weighted *free* or *Kirchhoff* vertex conditions.

In order to compare the 'free' quadratic form with the graph norm of H we need the following estimate:

Lemma 2.4. We have

$$||f||_{\mathsf{H}^{1}(G)}^{2} = \mathfrak{d}(f) + ||f||_{G}^{2} \leq 2 \max\{C_{1/2}, \sqrt{2}\} ||(H \mp i)f||_{G}^{2}$$

for  $f \in \operatorname{dom} H \subset \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{h} = \mathsf{H}^1_p(G)$ .

**Proof.** Using the estimate of corollary 2.3, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{d}(f) + \|f\|^2 \leq 2(\mathfrak{h}(f) + (C_{1/2} + 1)\|f\|^2) \leq 2|\mathfrak{h}(f) + \|f\|^2| + 2C_{1/2}\|f\|^2.$$

Moreover, the first term can be estimated as

$$\begin{split} |\mathfrak{h}(f) + \|f\|^2|^2 &\leq 2(\mathfrak{h}(f)^2 + \|f\|^4) = 2|\mathfrak{h}(f) - \mathfrak{i}\|f\|^2 ||\mathfrak{h}(f) + \mathfrak{i}\|f\|^2| \\ &= 2|\langle f, (H \mp \mathfrak{i})f\rangle|^2 \leq 2\|f\|^2 \|(H \mp \mathfrak{i})f\|^2. \end{split}$$

Finally, we apply the estimate  $||f|| \leq ||(H \neq i)f||$  to obtain the result.

**Remark 2.5.** Note that we have not said anything about the boundary conditions at the free ends of the edges of finite length if there are any. As we employ the Sobolev space  $H_{\underline{p}}^1(G)$  for the domain, we implicitly introduce Neumann conditions for the operator,  $f'_e(\ell_e) = 0$ . However, one can choose any other condition at the free ends, or to construct more complicated graphs by putting the star graphs together.

# 2.2. The manifold model of the 'fat' graph

Let us now define the other element of the approximation we are going to construct. For a given  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  we associate a connected *d*-dimensional manifold  $X_{\varepsilon}$  with the star graph *G* in the following way. To the edge  $e \in E$  and the vertex *v* we ascribe the Riemannian manifolds

$$X_{\varepsilon,e} := I_e \times \varepsilon Y_e$$
 and  $X_{\varepsilon,v} := \varepsilon X_v$ , (2.7)

respectively, where  $\varepsilon Y_e$  is a manifold  $Y_e$  (called *transverse manifold*) equipped with the metric  $h_{\varepsilon,e} := \varepsilon^2 h_e$  (see figure 1). More precisely, the so-called *edge neighbourhood*  $X_{\varepsilon,e}$  and the *vertex neighbourhood*  $\varepsilon X_{\varepsilon,v}$  carry the metrics  $g_{\varepsilon,e} = d^2s + \varepsilon^2 h_e$  and  $g_{\varepsilon,v} = \varepsilon^2 g_v$ , where  $h_e$  and  $g_v$  are  $\varepsilon$ -independent metrics on  $Y_e$  and  $X_v$ , respectively. Omitting the scaling parameter  $\varepsilon$  in the notation conventionally means  $\varepsilon = 1$ , i.e. we denote by  $X_e = X_{\varepsilon,e}$ ,  $X_v = X_{\varepsilon,v}$ ,  $Y_e = \varepsilon Y_e$ , etc the Riemannian manifolds with  $\varepsilon = 1$  in the metric. For convenience, we will always use the  $\varepsilon$ -independent coordinates  $(s, y) \in X_e = I_e \times Y_e$  and  $x \in X_v$ , so that the radius-type parameter  $\varepsilon$  only enters via the Riemannian metrics. Without loss of generality, we may assume that each cross-section  $Y_e$  is connected; otherwise we replace the edge e by as many edges as is the number of connected components.

We assume that for each edge e, the vertex neighbourhood  $X_{\varepsilon,v}$  has a boundary component denoted by  $\partial_e X_{\varepsilon,v}$ . Note that  $\partial_e X_{\varepsilon,v} = \varepsilon \partial_e X_v$  is *isometric* to the scaled transverse manifold  $\varepsilon Y_e$ . Fixing such an isometry and assuming that  $X_{\varepsilon,v}$  has product structure (drawn in light grey in figure 1) near each of the boundary components  $\partial_e X_{\varepsilon,v}$ , we identify the boundary component  $\partial_v X_{\varepsilon,e} = \{0\} \times \varepsilon Y_e$  of the edge neighbourhood  $X_{\varepsilon,e}$  with  $\partial_e X_{\varepsilon,v}$ . In this way, we obtain a smooth Riemannian manifold  $X_{\varepsilon}$  from the components  $X_{\varepsilon,e}$  ( $e \in E$ ) and  $X_{\varepsilon,v}$ .



**Figure 1.** A star graph *G* with three edges and the associated manifold model  $X_{\varepsilon}$  with transversal manifolds  $Y_e$  being intervals. The vertex neighbourhood is drawn dark and light grey. The light grey regions have product structure, and for each edge, we identify the boundary component  $\partial_e X_{\varepsilon,v}$  with  $\partial_v X_{\varepsilon,e} = \{0\} \times \varepsilon Y_e$ .

Roughly speaking, the manifold  $X_{\varepsilon}$  consists of the number deg v of straight cylinders<sup>4</sup> with cross-section  $\varepsilon Y_e$  of radius  $\varepsilon$  attached to the central manifold  $X_{\varepsilon,v} = \varepsilon X_v$ .

The entire manifold  $X_{\varepsilon}$  may or may not have a boundary  $\partial X_{\varepsilon}$ , depending on whether there is at least one finite edge length  $\ell_e < \infty$  or one transverse manifold  $Y_e$  has a non-empty boundary. In such a situation, we assume that  $X_{\varepsilon} = \stackrel{\circ}{X_{\varepsilon}} \cup \partial X_{\varepsilon}$ , i.e.  $\partial X_{\varepsilon} \subset X_{\varepsilon}$ . A particular case is represented by embedded manifolds which deserve a comment.

**Remark 2.6.** Note that the above setting contains the case of the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of an embedded graph  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , but only up to a longitudinal error of order of  $\varepsilon$ . The manifold  $X_{\varepsilon}$  itself does *not* form an  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of a metric graph embedded in some ambient space, since the vertex neighbourhoods cannot be fixed in the ambient space unless one allows slightly shortened edge neighbourhoods. Nevertheless, introducing  $\varepsilon$ -independent coordinates also in the longitudinal direction simplifies the comparison of the Laplacian on the metric graph and the manifold, and the error made is of order  $\mathscr{O}(\varepsilon)$ , as we will see in lemma 2.7 for a single edge.

The basic Hilbert space of the manifold model is

$$\mathsf{L}_{2}(X_{\varepsilon}) = \bigoplus_{e} (\mathsf{L}_{2}(I_{e}) \otimes \mathsf{L}_{2}(\varepsilon Y_{e})) \oplus \mathsf{L}_{2}(\varepsilon X_{v}),$$
(2.8)

with the norm given by

$$\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{2} = \sum_{e \in E} \varepsilon^{d-1} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}} |u|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}y_{e} \,\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon^{d} \int_{X_{v}} |u|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x_{v},$$

where  $dx_e = dy_e ds$  and  $dx_v$  denote the Riemannian volume measures associated with the (unscaled) manifolds  $X_e = I_e \times Y_e$  and  $X_v$ , respectively. In the last formula we have employed the appropriate scaling behaviour,  $dx_{\varepsilon,e} = \varepsilon^{d-1} dy_e ds$  and  $dx_{\varepsilon,v} = \varepsilon^d dx_v$ .

Denote by  $H^1(X_{\varepsilon})$  the Sobolev space of order 1, the completion of the space of smooth functions with compact support under the norm given by  $||u||^2_{H^1(X_{\varepsilon})} = ||du||^2_{X_{\varepsilon}} + ||u||^2_{X_{\varepsilon}}$ . As in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The straightness here refers to the intrinsic geometry only. We do not assume in general that the manifolds  $X_{\varepsilon}$  are embedded, for instance, into a Euclidean space, see also remark 2.6.

the case of the metric graphs, we define the Laplacian  $\Delta_{X_{\varepsilon}}$  on  $X_{\varepsilon}$  via its quadratic form

$$\mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}(u) := \|\mathrm{d}u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{2} = \sum_{e \in E} \varepsilon^{d-1} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}} \left( |u'(s, y)|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} |\mathrm{d}_{Y_{\varepsilon}}u|_{h_{\varepsilon}}^{2} \right) \mathrm{d}y_{e} \,\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon^{d-2} \int_{X_{v}} |\mathrm{d}u|_{g_{v}}^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x_{v},$$
(2.9)

where u' denotes the *longitudinal* derivative,  $u' = \partial_s u$ , and du is the exterior derivative of u. As before, the form  $\mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}$  is closed by definition. Adding a potential, we define the Hamiltonian  $H_{\varepsilon}$  as the operator associated with the form  $\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon} = \mathfrak{h}_{(X_{\varepsilon}, Q_{\varepsilon})}$  given by

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon} = \|\mathrm{d} u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{2} + \langle u, Q_{\varepsilon} u \rangle_{X_{\varepsilon}},$$

where the potential  $Q_{\varepsilon}$  has support only in the (unscaled) vertex neighbourhood  $X_{v}$  and

$$Q_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}Q(x), \qquad (2.10)$$

where  $Q = Q_1$  is a fixed bounded and measurable function on  $X_v$ . The reason for this particular scaling will become clear in the proof of proposition 3.2. Roughly speaking, it comes from the fact that vol  $X_{\varepsilon,v}$  is of order  $\varepsilon^d$ , whereas the (d-1)-dimensional transverse volume vol  $Y_{\varepsilon,e}$  is of order  $\varepsilon^{d-1}$ . The operators  $H_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\Delta_{\varepsilon}$  are associated with forms  $\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}$ , respectively; note that  $\Delta_{\varepsilon} = \Delta_{X_{\varepsilon}} \ge 0$  is the usual (Neumann) Laplacian on  $X_{\varepsilon}$ . As usual the Neumann boundary condition occurs only in the operator domain if  $\partial X_{\varepsilon} \neq \emptyset$ . We postpone for a moment the check that  $H_{\varepsilon}$  is relatively form-bounded with respect to  $\Delta_{X_{\varepsilon}}$ , see lemma 2.10 below.

Let us compare the two cylindrical neighbourhoods,  $X_{\varepsilon,e} = I \times \varepsilon Y_e$  and  $\widetilde{X}_{\varepsilon,e} = I_{\varepsilon} \times \varepsilon Y_e$ , on edges of length  $\ell > 0$  and  $\ell_{\varepsilon} = (1 - \varepsilon)\ell$ , respectively. The result for the entire space  $X_{\varepsilon}$ then follows by combining the estimates on the edges and the fact that the potential is only supported on the vertex neighbourhoods. The verification of the  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitary equivalence in the next lemma is straightforward; for a proof we refer to [P09, proposition 5.3.10].<sup>5</sup>

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $\mathscr{H}_e := \mathsf{L}_2(X_{\varepsilon,e})$  and  $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_e := \mathsf{L}_2(\widetilde{X_{\varepsilon,e}})$ . Moreover, define  $J_e : \mathscr{H}_e \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_e$   $(J_e f)(\widetilde{s}, v) := f((1-\varepsilon)^{-1}\widetilde{s, v})$ 

$$J'_e: \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_e \longrightarrow \mathscr{H} \qquad (J'_e u)(s, y) := u((1-\varepsilon)s, y),$$
$$J'_e: \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_e \longrightarrow \mathscr{H} \qquad (J'_e u)(s, y) := u((1-\varepsilon)s, y).$$

Then the quadratic forms  $\mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}(f) := \|f\|_{X_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}^2$  and  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{d}}_{\varepsilon}(u) := \|u\|_{\widetilde{X}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}^2$  with dom  $\mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon} = \mathsf{H}^1(X_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon})$ and dom  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{d}}_{\varepsilon} = \mathsf{H}^1(\widetilde{X}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon})$  are  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitarily equivalent with  $\delta_{\varepsilon} = 2\varepsilon/(1-\varepsilon)^{1/2}$ ; namely, we have  $J'_e J_e = \operatorname{id}$ ,  $J_e J'_e = \operatorname{id}$ ,  $\|J_e\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|J'_e\| \leq 1 + \delta_{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\|J'_e - J^*_e\| \leq \delta_{\varepsilon} \qquad and \qquad |\widetilde{\mathfrak{d}}_{\varepsilon}(J_e f, u) - \mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}(f, J'_e u)| \leq \delta_{\varepsilon} \|f\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(G)} \|u\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(X_{\varepsilon})}.$$

In particular, we get

$$\left\|\left(\Delta_{\widetilde{X}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}+1\right)^{-1}-J_{\varepsilon}\left(\Delta_{X_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}+1\right)^{-1}J_{\varepsilon}'\right\|\leqslant 2\delta_{\varepsilon}=\mathscr{O}(\varepsilon).$$

For the verification of the quasi-unitary equivalence of the graph and manifold Hamiltonian in the next section, we need some more notation and estimates. The estimates are already provided in [EP05, P06], but we will also need a precise control of the edge length, when we approximate the  $\delta'_{s}$ -coupling by  $\delta$ -couplings in section 4 below. Therefore, we present short proofs of the estimate here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Note that we used a slightly different notation in [P06, appendix], where  $\delta$ -quasi unitary equivalence was called  $\delta$ -closeness.

We first introduce the following averaging operators

$$f_v u := \int_{X_v} u \, \mathrm{d}x_v \qquad \text{and} \qquad f_e u(s) := \int_{Y_e} u(s, \cdot) \, \mathrm{d}y_e$$

for  $u \in L_2(X_{\varepsilon})$ , where

$$\int_M u \, \mathrm{d}x := \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} M} \int_M u \, \mathrm{d}x$$

denotes the *normalized* integral for  $u \in L_2(M)$  on the manifold M (for the existence of the trace  $u(s, \cdot) \in L_2(Y_e)$  for all  $s \in I_e$ , one needs an estimate similar to (2.12)).

In order to obtain the below Sobolev trace estimate below, we need a further decomposition of the vertex neighbourhood  $X_v$ . Recall that  $X_v$  has  $(\deg v)$ -many boundary components isometric to  $Y_e$ . We assume that each such boundary component has a collar neighbourhood  $X_{v,e} = (0, \ell_e) \times Y_e$  of length  $\ell_e$ . Note that the scaled vertex neighbourhood  $X_{\varepsilon,v} = \varepsilon X_v$  is of order  $\varepsilon$  in *all* directions, so that the scaled collar neighbourhoods  $X_{\varepsilon,v,e} := \varepsilon X_{v,e}$  are of length  $\varepsilon \ell_e$ . We can always assume that such a decomposition exists, by possibly using a different cut of the manifold X into  $X_v$  and  $X_e$ , the price being an additional longitudinal error of order  $\varepsilon$ (see lemma 2.7). Similarly as in (2.3), one can get the following Sobolev trace estimates for the scaled manifolds:

$$\|u\|_{\partial_{e}X_{\varepsilon,v}}^{2} \leqslant \varepsilon \widetilde{a} \|du\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v,e}}^{2} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon \widetilde{a}} \|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v,e}}^{2}$$

$$(2.11)$$

$$\|u\|_{\partial_{v}X_{\varepsilon,e}}^{2} \leqslant a\|u'\|_{X_{\varepsilon,e}}^{2} + \frac{2}{a}\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,e}}^{2}$$
(2.12)

for  $0 < a, \tilde{a} \le \ell_e$  on the vertex and edge neighbourhood, respectively, where  $u' = \partial_s u$  denotes the longitudinal derivative. The unscaled versions are obtained, of course, by setting  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Moreover, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we get

$$\operatorname{vol} Y_e \left| f_e u(0) \right|^2 \leq \| u \|_{\partial_e X_v}^2 = \| u \|_{\partial_v X_e}^2.$$

In the following lemma we compare the averaging over the boundary of  $X_v$  with the averaging over the whole space  $X_v$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** For  $u \in H^1(X_v)$ , we have

$$\operatorname{vol} \partial X_{v} \left| f_{\partial X_{v}} u - f_{v} u \right|^{2} \leq \sum_{e \in E} \operatorname{vol} \partial_{e} X_{v} \left| f_{\partial_{e} X_{v}} u - f_{v} u \right|^{2} \leq \left( 1 + \frac{2}{\ell_{0} \lambda_{2}(v)} \right) \| \mathrm{d} u \|_{X_{v}}^{2},$$

where  $\ell_0 = \min_e \{\ell_e, 1\}$ , and where  $\lambda_2(v)$  denotes the second (i.e. first non-zero) eigenvalue of the Neumann Laplacian on  $X_v$ ; the latter is defined conventionally as the operator associated with the form  $\mathfrak{d}_v(u) := \|\mathrm{d} u\|_{X_v}^2$  with the domain  $\mathrm{dom} \,\mathfrak{d}_v := \mathrm{H}^1(X_v)$ .

**Proof.** Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the estimate (2.11) for each edge *e* with  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $\tilde{a} = \ell_0$ , we obtain

$$\operatorname{vol} \partial X_{v} \left| f_{\partial X_{v}} w \right|^{2} = \sum_{e} \operatorname{vol} \partial_{e} X_{v} \left| f_{\partial_{e} X_{v}} w \right|^{2} \leq \left\| w \right\|_{\partial X_{v}}^{2} \leq \left\| dw \right\|_{X_{v}}^{2} + \frac{2}{\ell_{0}} \left\| w \right\|_{X_{v}}^{2}.$$
(2.13)

We apply the above estimate to the function  $w = P_v u := u - \int_v u$  and observe that

$$\|w\|_{X_{v}}^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}(v)} \|dw\|_{X_{v}}^{2}, \qquad (2.14)$$

as one can check using the fact that dw = du and that  $P_v$  is the projection onto the orthogonal complement of the first eigenfunction  $\mathbf{1}_v \in L_2(X_v)$ .

9

We also need an estimate over the vertex neighbourhood. It will assure that in the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , no family of normalized eigenfunctions  $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$  with eigenvalues lying in a bounded interval can concentrate on  $X_{\varepsilon,v}$ .

Lemma 2.9. We have

$$\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v}}^2 \leqslant \varepsilon^2 C(v) \|\mathrm{d}u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v}}^2 + 4\varepsilon c_{\mathrm{vol}} \left[a\|u'\|_{X_{\varepsilon,E}}^2 + \frac{2}{a}\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,E}}^2\right]$$

for  $0 < a \leq \ell_0 = \min_e \{\ell_e, 1\}$ , where  $C(v) := C(v, \ell_0) = 4 \Big[ \frac{1}{\lambda_2(v)} + c_{\text{vol}} \Big( 1 + \frac{2}{\ell_0 \lambda_2(v)} \Big) \Big]$ ,  $c_{\text{vol}} := \text{vol} X_v / \text{vol} \partial X_v$  and  $X_{\varepsilon, E} := \bigcup_e X_{\varepsilon, e}$  denotes the union of all edge neighbourhoods.

Proof. We start with the estimate

ν

 $\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v}}^{2} \leq 2\varepsilon^{d} \left(\|u - f_{v}u\|_{X_{v}}^{2} + \|f_{v}u\|_{X_{v}}^{2}\right) \leq 2\varepsilon^{d} \left(\frac{2}{\lambda_{2}(v)} \|du\|_{X_{v}}^{2} + \operatorname{vol} X_{v} |f_{v}u|^{2}\right)$ 

using (2.14) and the fact that  $f_v u$  is constant. Moreover, the last term can be estimated by

$$| \operatorname{sl} \partial X_{v} | f_{v} u |^{2} \leq 2 \operatorname{vol} \partial X_{v} \left( \left| f_{v} u - f_{\partial X_{v}} u \right|^{2} + \left| f_{\partial X_{v}} u \right|^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq 2 \left( 1 + \frac{2}{\ell_{0} \lambda_{2}(v)} \right) \| du \|_{X_{v}}^{2} + \sum_{e} \operatorname{vol} \partial_{e} X_{v} | f_{\partial_{e} X_{v}} u |^{2}$$

using lemma 2.8. Since  $\partial_e X_v$  is isometric to  $\partial_v X_e = \{0\} \times Y_e$  by assumption, we can estimate the latter sum by

$$\sum_{e} \operatorname{vol} \partial_{v} X_{e} \left| f_{\partial_{e} X_{v}} u \right|^{2} \leq \sum_{e} \| u \|_{\partial_{v} X_{e}}^{2} \leq a \| u' \|_{X_{E}}^{2} + \frac{2}{a} \| u \|_{X_{E}}^{2}$$

due to (2.12) for  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $0 < a \leq \ell_0$  on each edge e. Here,  $X_E := X_{1,E}$  is the union of the unscaled edge neighbourhoods. The desired estimate then follows from the scaling behaviour  $\|du\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v}}^2 = \varepsilon^{d-2} \|du\|_{X_v}^2$  and  $\|w\|_{X_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}^2 = \varepsilon^{d-1} \|w\|_{X_\varepsilon}^2$  for w = u or w = u' (where  $u' = \partial_s u$  denotes the longitudinal derivative).

We are now able to prove the relative (form-)boundedness of the Hamiltonian  $H_{\varepsilon}$  with respect to the Laplacian  $\Delta_{X_{\varepsilon}}$  for the indicated class of potentials. It will be of particular importance to have a precise control of the constants  $\varepsilon_{\eta}$  and  $\widetilde{C}_{\eta}$  in terms of the various parameters of our spaces, when we deal with the approximation of the  $\delta'_{s}$ -coupling by  $\delta$ -couplings with shrinking spacing  $a = \varepsilon^{\alpha}$  in section 4 below.

**Lemma 2.10.** To a given  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $\varepsilon_{\eta} > 0$  such that the form  $\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}$  is relatively form-bounded with respect to the free form  $\mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}$  with relative bound  $\eta$  for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_{\eta}]$ , in other words, there exists  $\widetilde{C}_{\eta} > 0$  such that

$$|\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}(u) - \mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}(u)| \leq \eta \mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}(u) + \widetilde{C}_{\eta} ||u||^{2}_{X_{\varepsilon}}$$

whenever  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{\eta}$ , where the constants  $\varepsilon_{\eta}$  and  $\widetilde{C}_{\eta}$  are given by

$$\varepsilon_{\eta} := \frac{\eta}{\|Q\|_{\infty}C(v)} \quad and \quad \widetilde{C}_{\eta} := 8c_{\text{vol}}\|Q\|_{\infty} \max\left\{\frac{4c_{\text{vol}}\|Q\|_{\infty}}{\eta}, \frac{1}{\ell_{0}}\right\}$$
(2.15)  
and fulfil  $\varepsilon_{\eta} = \mathscr{O}(\ell_{0}) \text{ and } \widetilde{C}_{\eta} = \mathscr{O}(\ell_{0}^{-1}) \text{ as } \ell_{0} \to 0.$ 

**Proof.** The potential  $Q_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1}Q$  is by assumption supported on the vertex neighbourhood  $X_v$ , therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}(f) - \mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}(f)| &\leq \frac{\|Q\|_{\infty}}{\varepsilon} \|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v}}^{2} \\ &\leq \|Q\|_{\infty} \Big(\varepsilon C(v) \|du\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v}}^{2} + 4ac_{\mathrm{vol}} \|u'\|_{X_{\varepsilon,E}}^{2} \Big) + \frac{8\|Q\|_{\infty}c_{\mathrm{vol}}}{a} \|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,E}}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

using lemma 2.9, for  $0 < a \leq \ell_0$ . Choosing  $a = \min\{\ell_0, \eta(4c_{\text{vol}} || Q||_{\infty})^{-1}\}$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{\eta}$  with  $\varepsilon_{\eta}$  as above, we can estimate the quadratic form contributions by

$$\eta\left(\|\mathrm{d} u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v}}^2+\|u'\|_{X_{\varepsilon,E}}^2\right)\leqslant \eta\|\mathrm{d} u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^2.$$

The expression for  $\widetilde{C}_{\eta}$  then follows by evaluating the coefficient of the remaining norm.  $\Box$ 

We need to estimate the 'free' quadratic form against the form associated with the Hamiltonian:

**Corollary 2.11.** The quadratic form  $\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}$  is closed. Moreover, setting  $\eta = 1/2$ , we get the estimate

$$\mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}(u) \leq 2(\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}(u) + C_{1/2} \|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^2)$$

which holds provided  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{1/2}$ .

As in lemma 2.4, we can prove the following estimate in order to compare the 'free' quadratic form with the graph norm of  $H_{\varepsilon}$ :

Lemma 2.12. We have

$$\|u\|_{\mathsf{H}^{1}(X_{\varepsilon})}^{2} = \mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}(u) + \|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{2} \leq 2 \max\{\widetilde{C}_{1/2}, \sqrt{2}\} \|(H_{\varepsilon} \mp \mathbf{i})u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{2}$$

for  $u \in \text{dom } H_{\varepsilon} \subset \text{dom } \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon} = \mathsf{H}^{1}(X_{\varepsilon})$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ .

# **3.** Approximation of $\delta$ -couplings

After these preliminaries we can pass to our main problems. The first one concerns approximation of a  $\delta$ -coupling by Schrödinger operators with scaled potentials supported by the vertex regions. For the sake of simplicity most of the discussion will be done for the situation with a single vertex as described in section 2.

### 3.1. Quasi-unitary identification operators

First, we need some notation how to compare operators and forms acting in different Hilbert spaces. We say that the quadratic forms  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}$  are  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitarily equivalent w.r.t. the free first-order scale<sup>6</sup> if there are identification operators

$$J\colon \ \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}, \quad J^{1}\colon \ \mathcal{H}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{1} \quad \text{and} \quad J'^{1}\colon \ \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{1},$$

called  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitary if

$$\|Jf - J^{1}f\|^{2} \leqslant \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \|f\|_{\mathsf{H}^{1}(G)}^{2}, \qquad \|J^{*}u - J'^{1}u\|^{2} \leqslant \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \|u\|_{\mathsf{H}^{1}(X_{\varepsilon})}^{2}, \qquad (3.1a)$$

$$J^*Jf = f, \qquad \|JJ^*u - u\|^2 \le \delta_{\varepsilon}^2 \|u\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(X_{\varepsilon})}^2, \qquad (3.1b)$$

$$|\mathfrak{h}(J^{\prime 1}u, f) - \mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}(u, J^{1}f)| \leqslant \delta_{\varepsilon} \|u\|_{\mathsf{H}^{1}(X_{\varepsilon})} \|f\|_{\mathsf{H}^{1}(G)}.$$
(3.1c)

Here,

$$\mathscr{H} := \mathsf{L}_2(G), \qquad \mathscr{H}^1 := \mathsf{H}^1(G), \qquad \mathscr{H}_{\varepsilon} := \mathsf{L}_2(X_{\varepsilon}), \qquad \mathscr{H}_{\varepsilon}^1 := \mathsf{H}^1(X_{\varepsilon}). \tag{3.2}$$

The attribute *free first-order scale* refers to the fact that we use the first-order space  $\mathscr{H}^1 := \mathsf{H}^1(G)$  with norm using the free Laplacian, and similarly on the manifold. Note

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  We use a slightly different notation w.r.t. the monograph [P09, chapter 4] and the appendix of [P06], in order to simplify matters here.

that the attribute  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitary refers to the fact that we have a quantitative generalization of unitary operators. In particular, if  $\delta_{\varepsilon} = 0$ , then a  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitary operator is just unitary. A general spectral theory for quasi-unitary equivalent operators is developed in a simple form in [P06, App.] and in a more elaborated version in [P09, chapter 4].

We need a relation between the different constants of the graph and the manifold model introduced above. Specifically, we set

$$p_e := (\operatorname{vol}_{d-1} Y_e)^{1/2}$$
 and  $q(v) = \int_{X_v} Q \, \mathrm{d} x_v.$  (3.3)

Let us now fix the quasi-unitary operators by a natural choice: Let  $J: \mathscr{H} \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{\varepsilon}$  be given by

$$Jf := \varepsilon^{-(d-1)/2} \bigoplus_{e \in E} (f_e \otimes \mathbb{1}_e) \oplus 0$$
(3.4)

with respect to the decomposition (2.8). Here  $\mathbf{1}_e$  is the normalized eigenfunction of  $Y_e$  associated with the lowest (zero) eigenvalue, i.e  $\mathbf{1}_e(y) = (\operatorname{vol}_{d-1}Y_e)^{-1/2}$ . Roughly speaking, we extend a function constantly in its transversal direction on the edge neighbourhoods and set it zero on the vertex neighbourhood.

In order to relate the Sobolev spaces of order one we correct the error made at the vertex neighbourhood by fixing the function to be constant there. Namely, we define  $J^1: \mathscr{H}^1 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^1_{\varepsilon}$  by

$$J^{1}f := \varepsilon^{-(d-1)/2} \Big( \bigoplus_{e \in E} (f_{e} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{e}) \oplus f(v) \mathbf{1}_{v} \Big),$$
(3.5)

where  $\mathbf{1}_{v}$  is the constant function on  $X_{v}$  with value 1. Note that the latter operator is well defined:

$$(J^{1}f)_{e}(0, y) = \varepsilon^{-(d-1)/2} p_{e}^{-1} f_{e}(0) = \varepsilon^{-(d-1)/2} f(v) = (J^{1}f)_{v}(x)$$

for any  $x \in X_v$  due to (3.3) and (2.2). In particular, the function  $J^1 f$  matches along the different components of the manifold, thus  $J^1 f \in H^1(X_{\varepsilon})$ . Moreover, f(v) is defined for  $f \in H^1(G)$  (see lemma 2.1).

The mapping in the opposite direction is given by the adjoint,  $J^*: \mathscr{H}_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}$ , which means that we average a function in transversal direction, i.e.

$$(J^*u)_e(s) = \varepsilon^{(d-1)/2} p_e f_e u(s).$$
(3.6)

Furthermore, we modify  $J^*$  on the first-order spaces to an operator  $J'^1: \mathscr{H}^1_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^1$  given by

$$(J_e^{\prime 1}u)(s) := \varepsilon^{(d-1)/2} p_e [f_e u(s) + \chi_e(s)(f_v u - f_e u(0))],$$
(3.7)

which differs from  $J^*f$  only by a correction near the vertices. Here  $\chi_e$  is a Lipschitz continuous cut-off function on the edge  $I_e$  such that  $\chi_e(0) = 1$  and  $\chi_e(\ell_e) = 0$ . If we choose the function  $\chi_e$  to be piecewise affine linear with  $\chi_e(0) = 1$ ,  $\chi_e(\ell_0) = 0$  and  $\chi_e(\ell_e) = 0$ , then  $\|\chi_e\|_{I_e}^2 = \ell_0/3 \leq \ell_0$  and  $\|\chi'_e\|_{I_e}^2 = \ell_0^{-1}$ . Moreover,  $(J'_e)_e(0) = \varepsilon^{(d-1)/2} p_e f_v u$  so that  $f := J'^1 u$  satisfies  $\underline{f}(0) \in \mathbb{C}\underline{p}$ , and therefore  $f \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\underline{p}}(G)$ , i.e.  $J'^1 u$  indeed maps into the right space. Note that by construction of the manifold, we have  $f_{\partial_e \chi_e} u = f_e u(0)$ .

#### 3.2. Quasi-unitary equivalence

In this subsection, we will verify the conditions (3.1) of quasi-unitary equivalence. We start this subsection with a lower bound on the operators H and  $H_{\varepsilon}$  in terms of the model parameters; for the definitions of the constants  $C_{1/2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{1/2}$  and  $\widetilde{C}_{1/2}$  see lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.10. Note that  $\widetilde{C}_{1/2}$  still depends on  $\|Q\|_{\infty}$  and  $\ell_0$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_{1/2}]$  the operators  $H_{\varepsilon}$  and H are bounded from below by  $\lambda_0 := -\widetilde{C}_{1/2}$ . Moreover, if all lengths are finite, i.e.  $\ell_e < \infty$ , and  $q(v) \leq 0$ , then we have

$$\inf \sigma(H) \leqslant \frac{q(v)}{\operatorname{vol} X_E} \qquad and \qquad \inf \sigma(H_\varepsilon) \leqslant \frac{q(v)}{\operatorname{vol} X_E + \varepsilon \operatorname{vol} X_v},$$

where  $X_E := \bigcup_e X_e$  is the union of the edge neighbourhoods.

**Proof.** Due to (3.3) we have  $|\underline{p}|^2 = \operatorname{vol} \partial X_v$  and  $|q(v)| = \left| \int_{X_v} Q \, dx_v \right| \leq ||Q||_{\infty} \operatorname{vol} X_v$  so that

$$C_{1/2} \leqslant \max\left\{4c_{\text{vol}}^2 \|Q\|_{\infty}^2, \frac{2c_{\text{vol}}\|Q\|_{\infty}}{\ell_0}\right\} \leqslant \widetilde{C}_{1/2} = \max\left\{64c_{\text{vol}}^2 \|Q\|_{\infty}^2, \frac{8c_{\text{vol}}\|Q\|_{\infty}}{\ell_0}\right\}, \quad (3.8)$$

where we recall that  $c_{\text{vol}} = \text{vol } X_v/\text{vol } \partial X_v$ . The spectral estimates then follow by inserting suitable test functions into the Rayleigh quotients  $\mathfrak{h}(f)/\|f\|^2$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}(u)/\|u\|^2$ . For f, we choose the edgewise constant function  $f_e(x) = p_e$ . Note that  $f \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\underline{p}}(G)$ . On the manifold, we choose the constant  $u := J^1 f = \varepsilon^{(d-1)/2} \mathbb{H}$ . The upper bound on the infimum on the spectrum follows by the relation  $\ell_e p_e^2 = \mathrm{vol} X_e$  using (3.3).

Now we are in position to demonstrate that the two Hamiltonians are quasi-unitary equivalent in the sense of 3.1, i.e. we estimate the expressions with the identification operators and the forms  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}$  in terms of the 'free' quadratic forms  $\mathfrak{d}$  and  $\mathfrak{d}_{\varepsilon}$ . The precise dependence of the error  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$  on the model parameters will be needed in section 4.

**Proposition 3.2.** The quadratic forms  $\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  are  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitary equivalent w.r.t. free first-order scale and the identification operators  $J, J^{I}, J'^{I}$  given above, where  $\delta_{\varepsilon} = \mathscr{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . In particular,  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$  is given explicitly by

$$\delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} := \max\left\{\frac{8\varepsilon c_{\text{vol}}}{\ell_{0}}, \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{\lambda_{2}(E)}, \varepsilon^{2}C(v), \frac{2\varepsilon}{\ell_{0}}\left(1 + \frac{2}{\ell_{0}\lambda_{2}(v)}\right), \frac{4\varepsilon c_{\text{vol}} \|Q\|_{\infty}^{2}}{\ell_{0}\lambda_{2}(v)}\right\}.$$
(3.9)

Here,  $\ell_0 = \min_e \{1, \ell_e\}$ ,  $\lambda_2(E) := \min_e \lambda_2(e)$  and  $c_{vol} = vol X_v/vol \partial X_v$ . Moreover,  $\lambda_2(e)$  and  $\lambda_2(v)$  denote the second (first non-vanishing) eigenvalue of the (Neumann-)Laplacian on  $Y_e$  and  $X_v$ , respectively, and C(v) was defined in lemma 2.9.

**Proof.** The first condition in (3.1a) is here

$$\|Jf - J^{1}f\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{2} = \varepsilon \operatorname{vol} X_{v} |f(v)|^{2} \leq \varepsilon c_{\operatorname{vol}} \left( \|f'\|_{G}^{2} + \frac{2}{\ell_{0}} \|f\|_{G}^{2} \right)$$

using lemma 2.1 with  $a = \ell_0 \leq 1$  and the fact that  $|\underline{p}|^2 = \operatorname{vol} \partial X_v$  due to (3.3). Next we need to show the second estimate in (3.1*a*). In our situation, we have

$$\|J^*u - J'^1u\|_G^2 = \varepsilon^{d-1} \sum_{e \in E} \|\chi_e\|_{I_e}^2 p_e^2 |f_v u - f_e u(0)|^2 \le \varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{2}{\ell_0 \lambda_2(v)}\right) \|du\|_{X_{e,v}}^2$$
(3.10)

using lemma 2.8. Moreover, the first equation in (3.1b) is easily seen to be fulfilled. The second estimate in (3.1b) is more involved. Here, we have

$$||JJ^*u - u||^2 = \sum_e ||u - f_e u||^2_{X_{\varepsilon,e}} + ||u||^2_{X_{\varepsilon,v}}$$

The first term can be estimated as in (2.14) by

$$\|u - f_e u\|_{X_{e,e}}^2 = \int_{I_e} \|u(s) - f_e u(s)\|_{Y_e}^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda_2(e)} \int_{I_e} \|\mathrm{d}_{Y_e} u(s)\|_{Y_e}^2 \, \mathrm{d}s = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_2(e)} \|\mathrm{d}_{Y_e} u\|_{X_{e,e}}^2,$$
12

where  $u(s) := u(s, \cdot)$ . The second term can be estimated by lemma 2.9. In particular, for the inequality in (3.1*b*), the first, second and third term in the definition of  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$  are sufficient.

Let us finally prove (3.1c) in our model. Note that this estimate differs from the ones given in [P06] by the absence of the potential term  $Q_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1}Q$  there. In our situation, we have

$$\begin{split} |\mathfrak{h}(J^{\prime 1}u,f)-\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}(u,J^{1}f)|^{2} \\ \leqslant 2\varepsilon^{d-1} \Bigg[ \Bigg| \sum_{e} p_{e} \big( f_{v}\overline{u} - f_{e}\overline{u}(0) \big) \langle \chi_{e}^{\prime},f^{\prime} \rangle_{I_{e}} \Bigg|^{2} + \big| q(v) f_{v}\overline{u} - \langle Qu,\mathfrak{H}_{v} \rangle_{X_{v}} \big|^{2} |f(v)|^{2} \Bigg]. \end{split}$$

Note that the derivative terms cancel on the edges due to the product structure of the metric and the fact that  $d_{Y_e} \#_e = 0$  and the vertex contribution vanishes due to  $d_{X_v} \#_v = 0$ . The first term can be estimated as before in (3.10) up to an additional factor  $2\ell_0^{-1}$ . For the second term, we use our definition  $q(v) = \int_{X_v} Q \, dx_v$  and the fact that  $q(v) \oint_v \overline{u} = \langle u, \oint_v Q \, \#_v \rangle_{X_v}$  to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \left| q(v) f_v \overline{u} - \langle Q u, \mathbf{1}_v \rangle_{X_v} \right|^2 &= \left| \langle u, f_v Q - Q \rangle_{X_v} \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \langle u, P_v Q \rangle_{X_v} \right|^2 = \left| \langle P_v u, Q \rangle_{X_v} \right|^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda_2(v)} \| \mathrm{d} u \|_{X_v}^2 \| Q \|_{X_v}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_v u := u - f_v u$  is the projection onto the orthogonal complement of  $\mathbb{H}_v$ . The last estimate follows from (2.14). Collecting the error terms for the sesquilinear form estimate, we see that the forth and fifth term in the definition of  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$  are necessary as lower bound on  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ , using also lemma 2.1 for the estimate on  $|f(v)|^2$ , and  $||Q||^2_{X_v} \leq \operatorname{vol} X_v ||Q||^2_{\infty}$ .

Now we can prove our main result on the approximation of a  $\delta$ -coupling in the manifold model. We say that the graph and manifold Hamiltonians H and  $H_{\varepsilon}$  are  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitarily equivalent w.r.t. the natural scale of Hilbert spaces generated by H and  $H_{\varepsilon}$  or simply  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ -quasiunitarily equivalent, if there is an identification operator  $J : L_2(G) \longrightarrow L_2(X_{\varepsilon})$  such that  $J^*J = id$ ,

$$\left\| (\mathrm{id} - JJ^*) R_{\varepsilon}^{\pm} \right\| \leqslant \widetilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left\| JR^{\pm} - R_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}J \right\| \leqslant \widetilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon}, \tag{3.11}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the operator norm, and where  $R^{\pm} := (H \mp i)^{-1}$  and  $R_{\varepsilon}^{\pm} := (H_{\varepsilon} \mp i)^{-1}$ denote the resolvents, respectively. The resolvent estimates are supposed to hold for both signs; the deviation  $\delta_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$  from being unitarily equivalent will be specified in the next theorem. We use the resolvent in the points  $z = \pm i$  since in section 4, the lower bound  $\lambda_0$  on  $H_{\varepsilon}$  will depend on  $\varepsilon$  and may tend to  $-\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Recall the definition of  $\widetilde{C}_{1/2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{1/2}$  (see (2.15)) and  $\lambda_0 := -\widetilde{C}_{1/2}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** For  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_{1/2}]$ , the operators  $H_{\varepsilon}$  and H are  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitarily equivalent with  $\widetilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon} = 10\delta_{\varepsilon} \max\{\widetilde{C}_{1/2}, \sqrt{2}\} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ , where  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$  is given in (3.9).

**Proof.** The first norm estimate in (3.11) follows from (3.1*b*) shown in proposition 3.2. The second norm estimate can be seen as follows: Let  $\tilde{f} \in L_2(G)$ ,  $\tilde{u} \in L_2(X_{\varepsilon})$ . Setting  $f := R^{\pm} \tilde{f} \in \text{dom } H$  and  $u := R^{\pm}_{\varepsilon} \tilde{u} \in \text{dom } H_{\varepsilon}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \widetilde{u}, \left(JR^{\pm} - R_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}J\right)\widetilde{f} \rangle &= \langle \widetilde{u}, Jf \rangle - \langle u, J\widetilde{f} \rangle \\ &= \langle \widetilde{u}, (J - J^{1})f \rangle + (\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}(u, J^{1}f) - \mathfrak{h}(J'^{1}u, f)) + \langle (J'^{1} - J^{*})u, \widetilde{f} \rangle \\ &- \mathrm{i}(\langle u, (J^{1} - J)f \rangle + \langle (J'^{1} - J^{*})u, f \rangle), \end{split}$$

and therefore

$$|\langle \widetilde{u}, (JR^{\pm} - R_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}J)\widetilde{f}\rangle| \leq 10\delta_{\varepsilon} \max\{\widetilde{C}_{1/2}, \sqrt{2}\} \|\widetilde{f}\| \|\widetilde{u}\|$$

13

using the estimates (3.1) shown in proposition 3.2 together with lemmata 2.4 and 2.12, and the fact that  $C_{1/2} \leq \tilde{C}_{1/2}$ .

Once we have the estimates of the quasi-unitary equivalence in (3.11), we can extend the estimates to other functions of the operators. This is done in detail in [P06, App. A] or more evolved in [P09, chapter 4] (see also remark 4.8).

Theorem 3.4. We have

$$\|J(H-z)^{-1} - (H_{\varepsilon} - z)^{-1}J\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2}), \qquad (3.12a)$$

$$\|J(H-z)^{-1}J^* - (H_{\varepsilon} - z)^{-1}\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$$
(3.12b)

for  $z \notin [\lambda_0, \infty)$ . The error depends only on  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ , given in (3.9), and on z. Moreover, we can replace the function  $\varphi(\lambda) = (\lambda - z)^{-1}$  by any measurable, bounded function converging to a constant as  $\lambda \to \infty$  and being continuous in a neighbourhood of  $\sigma(H)$ .

The following spectral convergence is also a consequence of the  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ -quasi-unitary equivalence (see e.g. [P06, theorem A.13] or [P09, section 4.3]). For details of the uniform convergence of sets, i.e. the convergence in Hausdorff-distance sense we refer to [HN99, App. A] or [P09, App. A.1].

**Theorem 3.5.** The spectrum of  $H_{\varepsilon}$  converges to the spectrum of H uniformly on any finite energy interval. The same is true for the essential spectrum.

**Proof.** The spectral convergence is a direct consequence of the quasi-unitary equivalence, see the theory developed in [P06, appendix] and [P09, chapter 4].  $\Box$ 

For the discrete spectrum we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.6.** For any  $\lambda \in \sigma_{disc}(H)$  there exists a family  $\{\lambda_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$  with  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \in \sigma_{disc}(H_{\varepsilon})$  such that  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \to \lambda$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Moreover, the multiplicity is preserved. If  $\lambda$  is a simple eigenvalue with normalized eigenfunction  $\varphi$ , then there exists a family of simple normalized eigenfunctions  $\{\varphi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$  of  $H_{\varepsilon}$  ( $\varepsilon$  small) such that

$$||J\varphi - \varphi_{\varepsilon}||_{X_{\varepsilon}} \to 0$$

as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

We remark that the convergence of higher-dimensional eigenspaces is also valid, however, it requires some technicalities which we skip here.

To summarize, we have shown that the  $\delta$ -coupling with weighted entries can be approximated by a geometric setting and a potential located on the vertex neighbourhood.

Let us briefly sketch how to extend the above convergence results theorems 3.3–3.6 to more complicated—even to non-compact—graphs. Denote by *G* a metric graph, given by the underlying discrete graph  $(V, E, \partial)$  with  $\partial : E \longrightarrow V \times V$ ,  $\partial e = (\partial_- e, \partial_+ e)$  denoting the initial and terminal vertex, and the length function  $\ell: E \longrightarrow (0, \infty)$ , such that each edge *e* is identified with the interval  $I_e = (0, \ell_e)$  (for simplicity, we assume here that all length are finite, i.e.  $\ell_e < \infty$ ). Let  $X_{\varepsilon}$  be the corresponding approximating manifold constructed from the building blocks  $X_{\varepsilon,e} = I_e \times \varepsilon Y_e$  and  $X_{\varepsilon,v} = \varepsilon X_v$  as in section 2.2. For more details, we refer to [EP05, P06, EP08, P09]. Since a metric graph can be constructed from a number of star graphs with identified endpoints of the free ends, we can define global identification operators. We only have to assure that the global error we make is still uniformly bounded: **Theorem 3.7.** Assume that G is a metric graph and  $X_{\varepsilon}$  the corresponding approximating manifold constructed according to G. If

$$\inf_{v \in V} \lambda_2(v) > 0, \quad \sup_{v \in V} \frac{\operatorname{vol} X_v}{\operatorname{vol} \partial X_v} < \infty, \quad \sup_{v \in V} \|Q|_{X_v}\|_{\infty} < \infty, \quad \inf_{e \in E} \lambda_2(e) > 0, \quad \inf_{e \in E} \ell_e > 0,$$

then the corresponding Hamiltonians  $H = \Delta_G + \sum_{v} q(v) \delta_v$  and  $H_{\varepsilon} = \Delta_{X_{\varepsilon}} + \sum_{v} \varepsilon^{-1} Q \upharpoonright_{X_v}$ are  $\tilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitarily equivalent, where the error  $\tilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$  depends only on the above mentioned global constants.

# 4. Approximation of the $\delta'_s$ -couplings

The main aim of this section is to show how the symmetrized  $\delta'$ -coupling, or  $\delta'_s$ , can be approximated using the manifold model discussed above. To this aim we shall use a result of [CE04] by which a  $\delta'_s$ -coupling can be approximated by means of several  $\delta$ -couplings on the same metric graph, located close to the vertex and 'lift' this approximation to the manifold. For the sake of simplicity we will again consider the star-shape setting with a single vertex. We believe, however, that the method we use can be directly generalized to more complicated graphs but also, what is equally important, to other vertex couplings, once they can be approximated by combinations of  $\delta$ -couplings on the graph, possibly with an addition of extra edges—see [ET06, ET07].

Let thus *G* be a star graph as in section 2 where we denote the vertex in the centre by  $v_0$  and where we label the  $n = \deg v$  edges by e = 1, ..., n. Again for simplicity, we assume that all the (unscaled) transverse volumes  $p_e^2 = \operatorname{vol} Y_e$  are the same; without loss of generality we may put vol  $Y_e = 1$ . Moreover, we assume that all lengths are finite, i.e.  $\ell_e < \infty$ , and equal, so we may put  $\ell_e = 1$ . First we recall the definition of the  $\delta'_s$ -coupling: the operator  $H^\beta$ , formally written as  $H^\beta = \Delta_G + \beta \delta'_{v_0}$ , acts as  $(H^\beta f)_e = -f''_e$  on each edge for functions f in the domain:

$$\operatorname{dom} H^{\beta} := \left\{ f \in \mathsf{H}^{2}_{\max}(G) \middle| \forall e_{1}, e_{2} : f'_{e_{1}}(0) = f'_{e_{2}}(0) =: f'(0), \\ \sum_{e} f_{e}(0) = \beta f'(0), \ \forall e : f'_{e}(\ell_{e}) = 0 \right\}.$$

$$(4.1)$$

For the sake of definiteness we imposed here Neumann conditions at the free ends of the edges. However, the choice is not substantial; we could use equally well Dirichlet or any other boundary condition. The corresponding quadratic form is given as

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\beta}(f) = \sum_{e} \|f'_{e}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left| \sum_{e} f_{e}(0) \right|^{2}, \quad \text{dom } \mathfrak{h}^{\beta} = \mathsf{H}^{1}_{\max}(G)$$

if  $\beta \neq 0$  and

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\beta}(f) = \sum_{e} \|f'_{e}\|^{2}, \qquad \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{h}^{\beta} = \left\{ f \in \mathsf{H}^{1}_{\max}(G) \middle| \sum_{e} f_{e}(0) = 0 \right\}$$

if  $\beta = 0$ ; the condition  $f \in H^0$  is obviously dual to the free (or Kirchhoff) vertex coupling—see, e.g., [Ku04, section 3.2.3].

The (negative) spectrum of  $H^{\beta}$  is easily found.

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $\beta \ge 0$ , then  $H^{\beta} \ge 0$ . On the other hand, if  $\beta < 0$ , then  $H^{\beta}$  has exactly one negative eigenvalue  $\lambda = -\kappa^2$  where  $\kappa$  is the solution of the equation

$$\cosh \kappa + \frac{\beta \kappa}{\deg v} \sinh \kappa = 0. \tag{4.2}$$



Figure 2. The intermediate graph picture used in the  $\delta'_s$ -approximation and the corresponding manifold model.

**Proof.** The non-negativity of  $H^{\beta}$  follows from the quadratic form expression for  $\beta > 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ . We make the ansatz

$$f_e(s) = \cosh \kappa (1-s)$$

fulfilling automatically the Neumann condition at s = 1 and the continuity condition at s = 0since  $f'_e(0) = -\kappa \sinh \kappa$  is independent of e. The remaining condition at zero leads to the above relation between  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$ , showing in another way that if  $\beta \ge 0$  there cannot exist a negative eigenvalue.

The main idea behind the approximation of a  $\delta'_s$ -coupling by Schrödinger operators on a manifold is to employ a combination of  $\delta$ -couplings in an operator one may call an *intermediate Hamiltonian*  $H^{\beta,a}$ , and then to use the approximations for  $\delta$ -couplings given in the previous section.

In order to define  $H^{\beta,a}$ , we first modify the (discrete) structure of the graph *G* inserting additional vertices  $v_e$  of degree 2 on the edge *e* with the distance  $a \in (0, 1)$  from the central vertex  $v_0$  (see figure 2). Each edge *e* is split into two edges  $e_a$  and  $e_1$ . We denote the metric graph with the additional vertices  $v_e$  and split edges by  $G_a$ , i.e.  $V(G_a) = \{v_0\} \cup \{v_e | e = 1, ..., n\}$ ,  $E(G_a) = \{e_a, e_1 | e = 1, ..., n\}$  and  $\ell_{e_a} = a$ ,  $\ell_{e_1} = 1 - a$ . This metrically equivalent graph  $G_a$  will be needed when associating the corresponding manifold. As vertex conditions on the additional vertices  $v_e$  we use the unweighted free conditions.

**Remark 4.2.** It is useful to note that the Laplacians  $\Delta_G$  and  $\Delta_{G_a}$  associated with the metric graphs *G* and  $G_a$  are unitarily equivalent. Indeed, introducing additional vertices of degree 2 with (unweighted) free conditions does not change the original quadratic form  $\vartheta_G$  with the domain  $H^1(G) = \text{dom } \vartheta$  associated with the free operator  $\Delta_G = H_{(G,0)}$ . Figuratively speaking, the free operator does not see these vertices of degree 2. We just have to change the coordinate on the edge *e*, i.e. we can either use the original coordinate  $s \in (0, \ell_e)$  on the edge *e* or we can split the edge *e* into two edges  $e_a$  and  $e_1$  of length  $\ell_{e_a} = a$  and  $\ell_{e_1} = \ell_e - a = 1 - a$  with the corresponding coordinates.

The core of the approximation lies in a suitable, *a*-dependent choice of the parameters of these  $\delta$ -couplings. Writing the operator in terms of the formal notation introduced in (2.6), we put

$$H^{\beta,a} := \Delta_G + b(a)\delta_{v_0} + \sum_e c(a)\delta_{v_e}, \qquad b(a) = -\frac{\beta}{a^2}, \qquad c(a) = -\frac{1}{a}$$

16

to be the *intermediate* Hamiltonian. Note that the strength of the central  $\delta$ -coupling depends on  $\beta$  while the added  $\delta$ -interactions are attractive, the sole parameter being the distance *a*. The operator can be defined via its quadratic form

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\beta,a}(f) := \sum_{e} \|f'_{e}\|^{2} - \frac{\beta}{a^{2}} |f(0)|^{2} - \frac{1}{a} \sum_{e} |f_{e}(a)|^{2}, \qquad \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{h}^{a} = \mathsf{H}^{1}(G),$$

where  $H^1(G) = H^1_{\underline{p}}(G)$  with  $\underline{p} = (1, ..., 1)$ , i.e. the functions  $f \in H^1(G)$  are distinguished by being continuous at  $v_0$ ,  $f_{e_1}(0) = f_{e_2}(0) =: f(0)$ .

The next theorem shows that the intermediate Hamiltonian converges indeed to the  $\delta'_s$ coupling with the strength  $\beta$  on the star-shaped graph.

#### Theorem 4.3 (Cheon, Exner). We have

$$|(H^{\beta,a} - z)^{-1} - (H^{\beta} - z)^{-1}|| = \mathcal{O}(a)$$

as  $a \to 0$  for  $z \notin \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the operator norm on  $L_2(G)$ .<sup>7</sup>

Note that the choice of the parameters b(a) c(a) of the  $\delta$ -interactions as functions of the distance *a* follows from a careful analysis of the resolvents of  $H^{\beta,a}$  and  $H^{\beta}$ . Each of these is highly singular as  $a \to 0$ ; however, in the difference all the singularities cancel leaving us with a vanishing expression. Needless to say that such a limiting process is highly non-generic.

Let us now consider the manifold model approaching the intermediate Hamiltonian  $H^{\beta,a}$ in the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$  with  $a = a_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\alpha}$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  to be specified later on. Let  $X_{\varepsilon}$  be a manifold model of the graph G as shown in figure 2. For the additional vertices of degree 2 we choose the vertex neighbourhoods as a part of the cylinder of length  $\varepsilon$  and distance of order of  $a_{\varepsilon}$  from the central vertex  $v_0$ . The edge  $e_{a_{\varepsilon}}$  now has the length  $a_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\alpha}$  depending on  $\varepsilon$ . The 'free' edge  $e_1$  joining  $v_e$  with the free endpoint at s = 1 is again  $\varepsilon$ -dependent, namely it has the length  $1 - a_{\varepsilon} = 1 - \varepsilon^{\alpha}$ . By the argument given in lemma 2.7 we can deal with this error and assume that this edge again has length one, the price being an extra error of order  $\mathscr{O}(\varepsilon^{\alpha})$ , affecting neither the final result nor the quantitative error estimate. Next we have to choose the potentials in the vicinity of the vertices  $v = v_0$  and  $v = v_e$ . The simplest option is to assume that they are constant,

$$Q_{\varepsilon,v}(x) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{q_{\varepsilon}(v)}{\operatorname{vol} X_{v}}, \qquad x \in X_{v}$$

so that  $\int_{X_v} Q_{\varepsilon,v} dx = \varepsilon^{-1} q_{\varepsilon}(v)$  (see (2.10) and (3.3)), where we put

$$q_{\varepsilon}(v_0) := b(\varepsilon^{\alpha}) = -\beta \varepsilon^{-2\alpha}$$
 and  $q_{\varepsilon}(v_e) := c(\varepsilon^{\alpha}) = -\varepsilon^{-\alpha}$ .

The corresponding manifold Hamiltonian and the respective quadratic form are then given by

$$H_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} = \Delta_{X_{\varepsilon}} - \varepsilon^{-1-2\alpha} \frac{\beta}{\operatorname{vol} X_{v_0}} \, \mathfrak{t}_{X_{v_0}} - \varepsilon^{-1-\alpha} \sum_{e \in E} \, \mathfrak{t}_{X_{v_e}} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}(u) = \|\mathrm{d} u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{2} - \varepsilon^{-1-2\alpha} \frac{\beta}{\mathrm{vol}\, X_{v_{0}}} \|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v_{0}}}^{2} - \varepsilon^{-1-\alpha} \sum_{e \in E} \|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon,v_{e}}}^{2}.$$

respectively. Note that the unscaled vertex neighbourhood  $X_{v_e}$  of the added vertex  $v_e$  has volume 1 by construction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The claim made in [CE04] is only that the norm tends to zero, however, the rate with which it vanishes is obvious from the proof. We remove the superfluous deg v from the definition of  $H^{\beta,a}$  in that paper. It should also be noted that the proof in [CE04] is given for star graphs with semi-infinite edges but the argument again modifies easily to the finite-length situation we consider here.

Before proceeding to the approximation itself, let us first make some comments about the lower bounds of the operators  $H^{\beta,a}$  and their manifold approximations  $H^{\beta}_{\varepsilon}$ :

**Lemma 4.4.** If  $\beta < 0$ , then the spectrum of  $H^{\beta,a}$  is uniformly bounded from below as  $a \to 0$ ; in other words, there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\inf \sigma(H^{\beta,a}) \ge -C \qquad as \quad a \to 0.$$

If  $\beta \ge 0$ , on the other hand, then the spectrum of  $H^{\beta,a}$  is asymptotically unbounded from below.

$$\inf \sigma(H^{\beta,a}) \to -\infty \qquad as \quad a \to 0.$$

Note that although we know the limit spectrum as  $a \rightarrow 0$  (see lemma 4.1), the resolvent convergence of theorem 4.3 does not necessarily imply the uniform boundedness from below of  $H^{\beta,a}$  (see remark 4.10).

**Proof.** Let  $\beta < 0$ . Then an eigenfunction on the (original) edge *e* has the form

$$f_e(s) = \begin{cases} A \cosh(\kappa s) + B_e \sinh(\kappa s), & 0 \le s \le a \\ C_e \cosh(\kappa (1-s)), & a \le s \le 1 \end{cases}$$

for  $\kappa > 0$ , the corresponding eigenvalue being  $\lambda = -\kappa^2$ . The Neumann condition  $f'_e(1) = 0$ at s = 1 is automatically fulfilled, as well as the continuity at s = 0 for the different edges e, since  $f_e(0) = A$  is independent of e. The continuity in s = a and the jump condition in the derivative lead to non-trivial coefficients A,  $B_e$  and  $C_e$  if and only if  $B_e$  and  $C_e$  are independent of e and if

$$\frac{\beta}{a^2}(\sinh(\kappa a)\cosh\kappa(1-a) - a\kappa\cosh\kappa) + n\kappa(\kappa a\sinh\kappa - \cosh(\kappa a)\cosh\kappa(1-a)) = 0$$

with associated eigenvalue  $\lambda = -\kappa(a)^2$  of multiplicity one. It can be seen that  $\kappa(a)$  is bounded, and that the above equation reduces to (4.2) as  $a \rightarrow 0$ .

For the second part, assume that  $\beta \ge 0$ . It is sufficient to calculate the Rayleigh quotient for the constant test function  $f = \mathbf{1} \in \mathsf{H}^1(G)$  which yields

$$\frac{\mathfrak{h}^{\beta,a}(f)}{\|f\|^2} = -\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\beta}{a^2} + \frac{1}{a}\right)$$

being of order  $\mathcal{O}(a^{-2})$  if  $\beta < 0$  and of order  $\mathcal{O}(a^{-1})$  if  $\beta = 0$ , negative in both cases; recall that  $n = \deg v$ . 

Similarly, we expect the same behaviour for the operators on the manifold.

**Lemma 4.5.** If  $\beta \ge 0$ , then the spectrum of  $H_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}$  is asymptotically unbounded from below, i.e.  $\inf \sigma(H_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}) \to -\infty \qquad as \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$ 

**Proof.** Again, we plug the constant test function u = 4 into the Rayleigh quotient and obtain

$$\frac{\mathfrak{h}_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}(u)}{\|u\|^{2}} = -\frac{\beta\varepsilon^{-2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{-\alpha}}{n(1+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{\alpha}) + \varepsilon \operatorname{vol} X_{v_{0}}}$$
  
ly tends to  $-\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

which obviously tends to  $-\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

**Remark 4.6.** As for a counterpart to the first claim in lemma 4.4, the proof of the uniform boundedness from below as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  for  $\beta < 0$  seems to need quite subtle estimates to compare the effect of the two competing potentials on  $X_{\varepsilon,v_0}$  and  $X_{\varepsilon,v_e}$  having strength proportional to  $|\beta|\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}$  and  $\varepsilon^{-\alpha}$ , respectively. Since the positive contribution  $Q_{\varepsilon,v_0} = |\beta|\varepsilon^{-1-2\alpha}$  is more singular than the negative contributions  $Q_{\varepsilon,v_e} = -\varepsilon^{-1-\alpha}$ , we expect that the threshold of the spectrum remains bounded as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

We can now prove our second main result. For the  $\delta'_s$ -coupling Hamiltonian  $H_\beta$  and the approximating operator  $H^{\beta}_{\varepsilon}$  defined in (4.1) and (4.3), respectively, we make the following claim.

**Theorem 4.7.** Assume that  $0 < \alpha < 1/13$ , then  $H_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}$  and  $H^{\beta}$  are  $\overline{\delta}_{\varepsilon}$ -quasi-unitarily equivalent, *i.e.*  $J^*J = id$ ,

$$\left\| (\mathrm{id} - JJ^*) (H_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \mp \mathrm{i})^{-1} \right\| \leq \overline{\delta}_{\varepsilon} \qquad and \qquad \left\| (H_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \mp \mathrm{i})^{-1} J - J (H^{\beta} \mp \mathrm{i})^{-1} \right\| \leq \overline{\delta}_{\varepsilon}$$

where  $\overline{\delta}_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\min\{\alpha,(1-13\alpha)/2\}})$  depends on the quantities in (3.9), and where J is the same identification operator as in section 3.

**Proof.** Denote by  $H^{\beta,\varepsilon} = H^{\beta,a_{\varepsilon}}$  the  $\varepsilon$ -dependent intermediate Hamiltonian on the metric graph with  $\delta$ -potentials of strength depending on  $\varepsilon$  as defined before. For the corresponding graph and manifold model, the lower bound to lengths depends now on  $\varepsilon$ , specifically,  $\ell_0 = a_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\alpha}$ . Moreover, from the definition of the constants  $C_{1/2} \leq \widetilde{C}_{1/2}$  and  $\varepsilon_{1/2}$  in (2.15) and from proposition 3.2, we conclude that

$$\widetilde{C}_{1/2} = \widetilde{C}_{1/2}(\varepsilon) = \mathscr{O}(\varepsilon^{-4\alpha}), \qquad \varepsilon_{1/2} = \varepsilon_{1/2}(\varepsilon) = \mathscr{O}(\varepsilon^{3\alpha}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \delta_{\varepsilon} = \mathscr{O}(\varepsilon^{(1-5\alpha)/2}).$$

Note that the dominant term in the error  $\delta_{\varepsilon}$  (see (3.9)) is the last one containing the potential. The first convergence follows now immediately from proposition 3.2 together with lemma 2.12. Moreover, from theorem 3.3 it follows that

$$\left\| \left( H_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} - \mathbf{i} \right)^{-1} J - J (H^{\beta,\varepsilon} - \mathbf{i})^{-1} \right\| \leq 10\delta_{\varepsilon} \max\{ \widetilde{C}_{1/2}(\varepsilon), \sqrt{2} \} = \mathscr{O}(\varepsilon^{(1-13\alpha)/2})$$

so that theorem 4.3 yields the sought conclusion. Note that the exponent of  $\varepsilon$  in  $\delta_{\varepsilon} \widetilde{C}_{1/2}(\varepsilon)$  is  $(1-5\alpha)/2 - 4\alpha = (1-13\alpha)/2 > 0$  provided  $\alpha < 1/13$ .

We can now proceed and state results as in theorems 3.4–3.7 for the  $\delta'_s$ -approximation; we will mention some exemplary results in the following theorem.

**Remark 4.8.** Note that in [P06, App.] or [P09, chapter 4], we considered only non-negative operators (covering, as usual, operators bounded *uniformly* from below by a suitable shift). In our present situation, we can only guarantee the resolvent convergence at *non-real* points like  $z = \pm i$ . Nevertheless, the arguments in [P06] or [P09] can be used to conclude the convergence of suitable functions of operators as well as the convergence of the dimension of spectral projections, etc.

Note that the spectrum of  $H^{\beta}$  and  $H^{\beta}_{\varepsilon}$  here is purely discrete.

Theorem 4.9. We have

$$\|J(H^{\beta} - z)^{-1} - (H^{\beta}_{\varepsilon} - z)^{-1}J\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2}),$$
(4.4a)

$$\|J(H^{\beta} - z)^{-1}J^{*} - (H^{\beta}_{\varepsilon} - z)^{-1}\| = \mathscr{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$$
(4.4b)

for  $z \notin \mathbb{R}$ . The error depends on the quantities in (3.9) and on z. Moreover, we can replace the function  $\varphi(\lambda) = (\lambda - z)^{-1}$  by any measurable, bounded function converging to a constant as  $\lambda \to \infty$  and being continuous in a neighbourhood of  $\sigma(H^{\beta})$ . For any  $\lambda \in \sigma(H^{\beta})$  there exists a family  $\{\lambda_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$  with  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \in \sigma(H^{\beta}_{\varepsilon})$  such that  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \to \lambda$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Moreover, the multiplicity is preserved. Finally, the eigenfunctions of  $H^{\beta}_{\varepsilon}$  converge to eigenfunctions of  $H^{\beta}$  in the sense of theorem 3.6.

**Remark 4.10.** Note that the asymptotic lower unboundedness of  $H_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}$  (and of the intermediate operator  $H^{\beta,\varepsilon}$ ) for  $\beta \ge 0$  described in lemmata 4.4 and 4.5 is not a contradiction to the fact that the limit operator  $H^{\beta}$  is non-negative. For example, the spectral convergence of an analogue of theorem 3.5 holds only for *compact* intervals  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ . In particular,  $\sigma(H^{\beta}) \cap I = \emptyset$  implies that

$$\sigma(H_s^\beta) \cap I = \emptyset$$
 and  $\sigma(H^{\beta,\varepsilon}) \cap I = \emptyset$ 

provided  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small. This spectral convergence means that the negative spectral branches of  $H_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}$  all have to tend to  $-\infty$ .

#### 5. Concluding remarks

#### 5.1. Other vertex couplings

Let us first comment on possible extension of the results derived above to more general vertex couplings. As we have mentioned in the introduction, the result of [CE04] based on the seminal idea of [CS98] allows for extensions worked out in [ET07]. Considering again a star graph with n edges, we have specifically:

- A family of couplings obtained as the limit of the star with *two* additional  $\delta$ -vertices added at each edge. The first is at the distance  $a^3$  from the central vertex with the coupling constant  $-a^{-3} + \beta_e a^{-2}$  at the *e*th edge, the other at the distance  $a + a^3$  with the coupling  $-a^{-1} + \gamma_e$ . In the central vertex we have a  $\delta$ -coupling of the strength  $\eta a^{-4}$ . The real numbers  $\beta_e$ ,  $\gamma_e$  and  $\eta$  are coupled by one condition, so the limit yields a 2n-parameter family of couplings; the norm resolvent convergence is established in this case.
- An  $\binom{n+1}{2}$  family of couplings covering generically all boundary conditions with *real* coefficients can be obtained similarly if we use one  $\delta$ -vertex at each edge at the distance *d* from the centre and the graph is amended by links of length of order of *d* connecting the additional vertices with another  $\delta$ -coupling in the middle— see [ET07] for a detailed description. In this case the convergence was established for the boundary conditions.

The proposed approximation is now the following. We replace the graph by a network with a fat edge width  $\varepsilon$  and the  $\delta$ -couplings by constant potentials of the appropriated strength at the segment of fat edge of length  $\varepsilon$ . We call the corresponding Schrödinger operator  $H_{\varepsilon}^{\omega}$ , where  $\omega$  stands now for the appropriate family of parameters, and by  $H^{\omega}$  the corresponding limiting operator on the graph itself.

**Conjecture 5.1.** If  $a = \varepsilon^{\alpha}$  holds in the above setting with  $\alpha > 0$  sufficiently small then the claim analogous to theorem 4.7 is valid with the same identification operator J.

#### 5.2. Purely geometric approximations

One way to provide a *geometric* approximation would be to let the particle live on a 'sleevetype' manifold  $X_{\varepsilon}$ —physically one can imagine a nanotube network—being subject to a curvature-induced potential such as considered in [DEK01]. A trouble with this idea, however, is that the potential would naturally scale as  $\varepsilon^{-2}$  in the limit which does not fit into the approximation scheme discussed here, and a more elaborate approach has to be sought.

#### 5.3. Physical realization of the approximations

Let us finally make a few remarks on the meaning of the obtained approximations. Since the non-trivial coupling comes from particularly chosen potentials on the thin tube network a natural question is in which way we can control them. We have seen above that there are topological and analytic obstructions for certain purely geometric approximations.

However, there are other ways how to realize the potentials in question physically. Thinking of the network as of a model of a semiconductor system, one can certainly use a local variation of the material parameters. Doping the network locally changes the Fermi energy at the spot creating effectively a potential well or barrier. From the practical point of view, indeed, the applicability is limited because our approximations need potentials which get stronger with the diminishing tube width  $\varepsilon$ .

Another, and more exciting way, is to use external fields. It is a common practise in experiment with nanosystems to add 'gates', or local electrodes, to which a voltage can be applied. In this way one can produce local potentials fitting into our approximation scheme, without material restrictions. This opens an rather intriguing possibility of creating quantum graphs with the vertex coupling controllable by an experimentalist (see e.g. [BG08] for some numerical simulations).

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the referees for useful comments. OP enjoyed the hospitality at the Doppler Institute where a part of the work was done. The research was supported by the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports within the project LC06002.

# References

- [ACF07] Albeverio S, Cacciapuoti C and Finco D 2007 Coupling in the singular limit of thin quantum waveguides J. Math. Phys. 48 032103
- [BG08] Brüning J and Grikurov V E 2008 Numerical simulation of electron scattering by nanotube junctions Russ. J. Math. Phys. 15 17–24
- [CE07] Cacciapuoti C and Exner P 2007 Nontrivial edge coupling from a Dirichlet network squeezing: the case of a bent waveguide J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 L511–23
- [CE04] Cheon T and Exner P 2004 An approximation to  $\delta'$  couplings on graphs J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 L329–35
- [CS98] Cheon T and Shigehara T 1998 Realizing discontinuous wave functions with renormalized short-range potentials *Phys. Lett.* A 243 111–6
- [DEK01] Duclos P, Exner P and Krejčiřík D 2001 Bound states in curved quantum layers Commun. Math. Phys. 223 13–28
- [DT06] Dell'Antonio G F and Tenuta L 2006 Quantum graphs as holonomic constraints J. Math. Phys. 47 072102
- [E96] Exner P 1996 Weakly coupled states on branching graphs Lett. Math. Phys. 38 313–20
- [EKK+08] Exner P, Keating J P, Kuchment P, Sunada T and Teplayaev A (eds) 2008 Analysis on Graphs and Its Applications (Proc. Symp. Pure Math. vol 77) (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [ENZ01] Exner P, Neidhardt H and Zagrebnov V A 2001 Potential approximations to δ': an inverse Klauder phenomenon with norm-resolvent convergence Commun. Math. Phys. 224 593–612
- [EP05] Exner P and Post O 2005 Convergence of spectra of graph-like thin manifolds J. Geom. Phys. 54 77-115
- [EP07] Exner P and Post O 2007 Convergence of resonances on thin branched quantum wave guides J. Math. Phys. 48 092104
- [EP08] Exner P and Post O 2008 Quantum networks modelled by graphs Quantum Few-Body Systems (AIP Conf. Proc. vol 998) (Melville, NY: American Institute of Physics) pp 1–17

- [ET06] Exner P and Turek O 2006 Approximations of permutation-symmetric vertex couplings in quantum graphs *Quantum Graphs and Their Applications (Contemporary Mathematics* vol 415) (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society) pp 109–20
- [ET07] Exner P and Turek O 2007 Approximations of singular vertex couplings in quantum graphs Rev. Math. Phys. 19 571–606
- [FW93] Freidlin M I and Wentzell A D 1993 Diffusion processes on graphs and the averaging principle Ann. Probab. 21 2215–45
- [G08] Grieser D 2008 Spectra of graph neighborhoods and scattering Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 97 718–52
- [HN99] Herbst I and Nakamura S 1999 Schrödinger operators with strong magnetic fields: quasi-periodicity of spectral orbits and topology Am. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 189 105–23
- [Ku04] Kuchment P 2004 Quantum graphs: I. Some basic structures Waves Random Media 14 S107-28
- [KuZ01] Kuchment P and Zeng H 2001 Convergence of spectra of mesoscopic systems collapsing onto a graph J. Math. Anal. Appl. 258 671–700
- [MV07] Molchanov S and Vainberg B 2007 Scattering solutions in networks of thin fibers: small diameter asymptotics Commun. Math. Phys. 273 533–59
- [Pa07a] Pavlov B 2007 Neumann Schrödinger 2D junction. Collapsing on a quantum graph: a generalized Kirchhoff boundary condition. Unpublished
- [Pa07b] Pavlov B 2007 A star-graph model via operator extension Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 142 365–84
- [P05] Post O 2005 Branched quantum wave guides with Dirichlet boundary conditions: the decoupling case J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 4917–31
- [P06] Post O 2006 Spectral convergence of quasi-one-dimensional spaces Ann. Henri Poincaré 7 933-73
- [P09] Post O 2009 Spectral analysis on graph-like spaces Habilitation Thesis Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
- [RS01] Rubinstein J and Schatzman M 2001 Variational problems on multiply connected thin strips: I. Basic estimates and convergence of the Laplacian spectrum Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 160 271–308
- [Sai00] Saito Y 2000 The limiting equation for Neumann Laplacians on shrinking domains *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2000** No 31